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**Flowchart of The Project**

Flowchart and necessary explanations will be done in this section.

**Functions**

Each function should be described here with its own heading. Code segments should also be included in this part along with the flowchart of the function. This flowchart is different from the previous part. In this part, only the corresponding function’s flowchart should be included.

* **Function One**

Description, flowchart and code segments of function one.

* **Function Two**

Description, flowchart and code segments of function two.

**Genetic Algorithm**

Brief introduction of genetic algorithm in your own words.

**What Have You Learned**

Describe what you have learned during the implementation of this project.

**Some Important Warnings**

***This section will not be included in your project report!***

* For groups with more than one person, dissipation of the work should be clearly described in functions section.
* Project reports which get more than %20 similarity score using plagiarism software will result in zero point.
* You must include a cover page for your project including (follow the same order): project report, course name, student name(s), numbers
* You must include a references part for any source of information that you have used. Referencing format is IEEE. (Google it)
* This very document is already formatted with correct values but anyway here is the document format rules:
* 1.5 line spacing, Times New Roman, 12, justify for the body of your text.
* Single line spacing, Times New Roman, 9, adjust left for the Reference section.
* All of the headers will be Times New Roman, 12, bold.
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